*	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/644,198	BORNE, LYNN ERIC
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ruth C Rodriguez	3677
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to communication filed on 19 August 2003.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-8</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 19 August 2003 are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date 11/19/2004 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. Examiner's Amendr	te

Art Unit: 3677

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

For claim 1, Hong-Rong discloses a cord securement apparatus comprising an elongated band, a hook integral with one end of the band, an eyelet integral with the other end of the band and a clamp connector of the eyelet frictionally slidingly engaged medially with the band to form a loop adjacent the other end of the band. The size of the loop can be adjusted by moving the eyelet clamp connector to a selected position on the band that is retained due to the friction between the clamp connector and the band. The clamp connector uses a thumb screw to frictionally engage the band. Hong-Rong fails to disclose that the clamp connector can be a coil. Accordingly, it would not have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a one piece member having a coil and eyelet where the coil will serve to adjust the size of a loop formed b the band extending from the coil and the eyelet.

Regarding claim 5, the same reasons for allowance of claim 1 apply to claim 5 since claim 5 is a cord securement method where all the different elements of the cord securement apparatus are being provided.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Atwood (US 332,178) and Pratscher et al. (US 4,890,410) are cited to show state of the art with respect to core securement devices having a coil sliding along a cord but the cord fails to make a loop between the coil and an eyelet.

Cooper (US 904,843), Simon (US 3,328,064), Dupre (US 4,432,121), Hong-Rong et al. (US 5,462,019), Steiner (US 6,279,205 B1) and Libecco (US 6,389,655 B2) are cited to show state of the art with respect to core securement apparatus having some of the features of the current application but missing the coil.

Grierson (US 1,396,270), Carr (US 1,490,066), Beauchamp (US 5,456,213), Frietze (US 5,695,101) are Rapp (US 6,038,745) are cited to show state of the art with respect to strap securement devices that utilize a buckle to adjust the length of a loop.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-1881. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115.

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and

Art Unit: 3677

examination of patent applications will effectively increase the patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as PTO's mailroom processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence **not** permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP § 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee that the applicant is paying by check **should not be** submitted by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP § 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9306) on ____(Date) .

(Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate)

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP § 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response has been transmitted by facsimile will cause further unnecessary

Application/Control Number: 10/644,198

Art Unit: 3677

Page 5

delays in the processing of your application, duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth C. Rodriguez Patent Examiner Art Unit 3677

KCK rcr

November 1, 2004

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kelm Island